

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,226	12/16/2003	Lyle Harold Scrymgeour	82910-2502 ADB	3126
23529 7	10/31/2005		EXAM	INER
ADE & COMPANY			FIDEI, DAVID	
1700-360 MAIN STREET WINNIPEG, MB R3C3Z3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3728	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TAIM				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/736,226	SCRYMGEOUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David T. Fidei	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under to	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
	•					
I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and re-	n ciconon requirement.	-				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	bjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rea	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	imary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/04</u>. 	6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a package, classified in class 206, subclass 459.1.
 - II. Claims 16-29 are, drawn to a packaging material, classified in class 428, subclass35.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the substrate is not formed as a continuous strip. The subcombination has separate utility such as substrate, or game prize, used independently of the package.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. During a telephone conversation with Adrian Battison on October 18, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 16-29 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 3728

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evers (Patent no. 5,137,148). A package product is disclosed comprising a channel shaped receptacle for receiving and supporting the structure to be packaged. The channel shaped receptacle has two upstanding sidewalls 42, 44 as shown in embodiments of figures 2-11. An over wrap is defined by outer member 12. Tear lines are defined by weakening lines 41c 42 and 45 that may be scored and/or perforated, col.3, and line18.

As to claims 2-6, 13 and 14, the best embodiment indicative of this subject matter is figures 8 and 9.

As to claim 7-12 and 15, the folded up portion of Evers may be used for a coupon or other information which may be printed, embossed and/or debossed on either or both sides with any information desired, see col. 2, lines 1-6.

To this extent the provision of game indicia, promotional graphics, game information, variable image printing, etc., is of no patentable significance. In order to distinguish over the prior art there must be some functional relationship between the specific content of the printed matter to the apparatus employing the printed matter, i.e., the printed matter depends on the apparatus, and the apparatus depends on the printed matter Although factually distinct, the *In re*

Art Unit: 3728

Ngai, 70 USPQ2d 1862 (Fed. Cir. 2004) and In re Gulack, 217 USPQ 410 (Fed. Cir. 1983), held the same basic premise of "where the printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate, the printed matter will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In the present instant the printed matter is not related to the package substrate and is of no particular consequence thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Evers (Patent no. 5,137,148). A package product is disclosed comprising a channel shaped receptacle for receiving and supporting the structure to be packaged. The channel shaped receptacle has two upstanding sidewalls 42, 44 as shown in embodiments of figures 2-11. An over wrap is defined by outer member 12. Tear lines are defined by weakening lines 41c 42 and 45 that may be scored and/or perforated, col.3, and line18.

As to claims 2-6, 13 and 14, the best embodiment indicative of this subject matter is figures 8 and 9.

As to claim 7-12 and 15, the folded up portion of Evers may be used for a coupon or other information which may be printed, embossed and/or debossed on either or both sides with any information desired, see col. 2, lines 1-6.

To this extent the provision of game indicia, promotional graphics, game information, variable image printing, etc., is of no any patentable significance it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made as a matter of routine skill to employ

Art Unit: 3728

any number of conventional printed matter for the purposed of providing a premium value to the cigarette package.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

8. "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,226 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The Official Fax number to file responses to this Office Action is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728